

4

DTIC FILE COPY

CHEMICAL
RESEARCH,
DEVELOPMENT &
ENGINEERING
CENTER

CRDEC-TR-005

CONTRIBUTIONS OF PARTICLE ABSORPTION
TO MASS EXTINCTION COEFFICIENTS (0.55-14 μm)
OF SOIL-DERIVED ATMOSPHERIC DUSTS


DTIC
ELECTE
JAN 24 1989
S D CS D

Hugh R. Carlon
U.S. Army Fellow

RESEARCH DIRECTORATE

December 1988

Approved for public
Distribution Unlimited



U.S. ARMY
ARMAMENT
MUNITIONS
CHEMICAL COMMAND

AD-A203 377

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) CRDEC-TR-005			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CRDEC		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) SMCCR-RSP-P	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION CRDEC		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) SMCCR-RSP-P	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO. 1L161101	TASK NO. A91A
			WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Contributions of Particle Absorption to Mass Extinction Coefficients (0.55-14 μ m) of Soil-Derived Atmospheric Dusts					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Carlton Hugh R. U.S. Army Fellow					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 79 Jan to 82 Dec		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1988 December	
15. PAGE COUNT 28					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD 20	GROUP 05	SUB-GROUP	Soils, Optical Extinction, Fort Benning, Dusts, IR Absorption, Atmospheric dusts, Aerosols, Extinction coefficients, Dust minerals.		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Mass extinction coefficients of soil-derived atmospheric dusts often are determined largely by the absorption (rather than scattering) by individual particles, especially at longer IR wavelengths. Under many conditions, reasonable estimates of mass extinction coefficients of dusts can be made from absorption coefficients without detailed knowledge of particle optical constants to perform, for example, Mie calculations. This report discusses absorption coefficients of dusts in the visible and IR wavelengths and the physical mechanisms of dust aerosol generation determining that portion of extinction attributable to absorption in a given dust cloud. Some soils, especially clays, can produce dust clouds that are almost pure absorbers at longer IR wavelengths.					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL SANDRA J. JOHNSON			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (301) 671-2914		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SMCCR-SPS-T

UNCLASSIFIED

PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under Project No. 1L161101A91A, In-House Laboratory Independent Research. This work was started in January 1979 and completed in December 1982.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Commander, U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center (CRDEC), ATTN: SMCCR-SPS-T, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5423. However, the Defense Technical Information Center and the National Technical Information Service are authorized to reproduce the document for U.S. Government purposes.

This report has been approved for release to the public.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledges with sincere appreciation the assistance of Dennis F. Flanigan, CRDEC, who provided information and illustrations from the paper he coauthored with H.P. DeLong and which is extensively discussed in this report.

Accession For	
NTIS	CRARI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	TAB <input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/>	
Justification <input type="checkbox"/>	
By _____	
Distribution _____	
Date _____	
Dist _____	
A-1	

Blank

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	7
1.1 General	7
1.2 Definition of Terms	9
2. ATMOSPHERIC ABSORPTION BY SOIL-DERIVED DUSTS	10
3. DUST ABSORPTION IN THE 7.7-14 μm SPECTRAL REGION	13
4. CONCLUSIONS	25
LITERATURE CITED	27

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No		
1	IR Spectrum of a Dust Cloud of Talc (Magnesium Silicate) Particles	8
2	Typical Measured Aerosol Size Distributions	13
3	Spectra of Selected Soil Samples	19
4	Cumulative Particle Size Distributions Measured for Dust Clouds of Georgia Red Clay	21
5	IR Spectrum of a Dust Cloud of Georgia Red Clay	22
6	Extinction of IR Radiation in the 7-14 μm Window Region by a Dust Cloud of Georgia Red Clay	24
7	Time History of IR Extinction in the 7-14 μm Window Region by a Dust Cloud of Georgia Red Clay	25

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		
1	Optical Constants of Several Atmospheric Aerosol Materials ...	12
2	Dust Content of Typical United States Soils	16
3	Particle Size Distribution in Soil Below 74 μm	17
4	Peak Positions of the IR Bands of the Common Dust Minerals ...	17
5	Relative Concentrations of the Common Dust Minerals in Soil Samples	18

CONTRIBUTIONS OF PARTICLE ABSORPTION
TO MASS EXTINCTION COEFFICIENTS (0.55-14 μm)
OF SOIL-DERIVED ATMOSPHERIC DUSTS

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General.

Optical extinction by atmospheric particulates is a universally known phenomenon but is not as clearly understood for soil-derived dusts as for other classes of aerosols. Calculations can be performed directly for aerosols of spherical particles (e.g., liquid droplets) using the Mie theory,¹ but computational techniques for irregular granules, including most kinds of dust particles, are still being evaluated.² This report presents optical data for dusts in the visible and infrared (IR) wavelengths and discusses the physical characteristics of dust aerosols determining that portion of extinction attributable to absorption in a given aerosol or cloud. Localized dust clouds, formed when surface soils are disturbed, are unique among aerosols in that their particle distributions are already determined in situ; and they are largely dependent for their optical behavior upon factors like soil moisture content and mechanisms of dissemination, which determine their airborne particle size distributions.

Extinction coefficients discussed in this report are those used in the Beer-Lambert equation:

$$-\ln T_{\lambda} = \alpha_{\lambda} CL \quad (1)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\lambda} = \alpha_{A_{\lambda}} + \alpha_{S_{\lambda}} \quad (2)$$

These and subsequent terms are defined in subsection 1.2. Often it is convenient to discuss ratios or relative magnitudes of the coefficients in equation 2. For example, the extinction of strongly absorbing dust particles when their diameters are small compared with the wavelength can be due almost entirely to absorption. That is,

$$\alpha_{\lambda} \approx \alpha_{A_{\lambda}}$$

where

$$\alpha_{S_{\lambda}} \approx 0, \text{ or } \alpha_{\lambda}/\alpha_{A_{\lambda}} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \alpha_{S_{\lambda}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Under these conditions, it has been shown³ that certain simple approximations often are adequate to describe the spectral behavior of aerosol particles, especially in the IR. These approximations work well for dust particles; for example, finely sized talc dusts exhibit an extremely sharp absorption peak at 9.8 μm and practically no evidence of optical scattering.⁴ In this case, a substantial portion of the dust-particle size distribution has small diameters compared to the illumination wavelength [i.e., the size parameter ($\pi D_p/\lambda$) is small, and a Rayleigh-like scattering situation exists]. The measured spectrum of a typical talc dust cloud (7-13.5 μm) is shown in Figure 1, where the virtual absence of particle scattering is evident toward shorter wavelengths, for example at 7-8 μm .




Figure 1. IR Spectrum of a Dust Cloud of Talc (Magnesium Silicate) Particles

Under Rayleigh-like conditions,^{3,5}

$$\alpha_{\lambda} \cdot \rho = \alpha_{A_{\lambda}} \cdot \rho = k_{L_{\lambda}} \cdot f(m_{\lambda}) \quad (3)$$

where $f(m_{\lambda})$ is a function of the complex index of refraction and is approximately 1.0 for most aerosol particles. Hence, the assumption $f(m_{\lambda}) = 1.0$ will be used for Rayleigh-region approximations in this report. The reader interested in greater precision should apply the correction for $f(m_{\lambda})$ from literature cited number 3.

The imaginary component of the refractive index, k_{λ} , is related to the absorption coefficient, $k_{L_{\lambda}}$ (μm^{-1}), by the simple expression

$$k_{L_{\lambda}} = (4\pi k_{\lambda})/\lambda \quad (4)$$

1.2 Definition of Terms.

α_{λ} = optical extinction coefficient at wavelength λ , m^2/g ;

$\alpha_{A_{\lambda}}$ = absorption component of the optical extinction coefficient at wavelength λ , m^2/g ;

$\alpha_{S_{\lambda}}$ = scattering component of the optical extinction coefficient at wavelength λ , m^2/g ;

C = aerosol particle mass concentration, g/m^3 ;

D_{μ} = geometric mean aerosol particle diameter, μm ;

$f(m_{\lambda})$ = a complex correction factor depending only on m_{λ} ;³

k_{λ} = imaginary part of the complex index of refraction at wavelength λ , unitless;

$k_{L_{\lambda}}$ = absorption coefficient at wavelength λ , numerically equal to $\alpha_{A_{\lambda}} \cdot \rho$ if $f(m_{\lambda}) = 1.0$ (equation 3), μm^{-1} ;

L = optical path length, m;

λ = wavelength, μm ;

m_λ or $(n-ik)_\lambda$ = complex index of refraction at wavelength λ ,
unitless;

MMD = mass median diameter, μm ;

n_λ = real part of the complex index of refraction at wavelength
 λ , unitless;

ρ = density of material making up the aerosol particles, g/cm^3 ; and

T_λ = optical transmittance at wavelength λ , unitless.

2. ATMOSPHERIC ABSORPTION BY SOIL-DERIVED DUSTS

Atmospheric dust extinction data in the literature commonly are reported in units of reciprocal path length (m^{-1} , km^{-1} , dB/km , etc.). This has the effect of combining α_λ and C in equation 1. Such data have limited value because the kinds, mixing ratios, and size distributions of dusts or other aerosols present when the data were taken must be assumed constant if these data are to be used for subsequent predictive purposes in the same geographical areas. It is much more desirable to know the optical (mass) coefficients (α_s) of the aerosol constituents, so that these data may be universally applied if airborne mass concentrations and particle size distributions can be determined in any real situation. The latter approach is taken in this report.

Optical constants are reported in the literature for many kinds of soils and dusts. Volz published optical constants ($2.5\text{-}40\ \mu\text{m}$) for the dry natural aerosol⁶ and for Sahara dust, volcanic pumice, fly ash, and other substances;⁷ Pollack et al.⁸ reported optical constants ($0.2\text{-}50\ \mu\text{m}$) for five naturally occurring rocks: California and Oregon obsidians, basaltic glass, basalt, and andesite; Querry et al.⁹ published optical constants

(0.2-32.8 μm) for limestone; and Flanigan and DeLong¹⁰ give spectral absorption coefficients (7.7-14 μm) for 70 soil samples gathered from around the world.

In this discussion, atmospheric extinction over the interval 0.55-10.6 μm is considered as a function of typical natural aerosol size distributions.¹¹ The absorption component of extinction by atmospheric dusts is considered at several laser wavelengths.^{11,12} IR absorption spectra (7.7-14 μm) adapted from Flanigan and DeLong's data¹⁰ are discussed with peak values of the absorption coefficient given. Finally, the behavior of an extremely troublesome spectral dust (Georgia red clay) is examined. The complex refractive indices of several aerosol materials are shown in Table 1, with absorption coefficients calculated using equations 3 and 4 for the approximation $f(m_\lambda) = 1.0$ in Rayleigh region (only).^{11,12}

Note that the condition $D_p \ll \lambda$ must exit if absorption coefficients are to be accurately approximated by equations 3 and 4.¹³ For example, concern was expressed¹³ regarding the method used to obtain the values of Schleusener,¹² which are listed in Table 1 (also, see the first note in Table 1).

Patterson¹¹ has shown that the overall extinction expected for natural soil-derived atmospheric aerosol should be approximately neutral (i.e., wavelength independent) over the 0.55-10.6 μm spectral range. Furthermore, this wavelength independence does not appear to change with increasing or decreasing visibility (i.e., the aerosol mass concentration). This result is expected whether or not aerosols are of local origin and is not strongly dependent upon values assumed for k_λ . Typical measured aerosol size distributions upon which these findings are based are shown in Figure 2.¹¹ The solid line represents a distribution measured under conditions of greatly reduced visibility (≈ 8 km), whereas the dashed line represents a size distribution of much lower total mass concentration measured under conditions of only slightly reduced visibility. The mode between 1 and 10 μm appears in both distributions, whereas the other modes are seen only in one distribution or the other (see article by Patterson¹¹ for details). A relatively heavy natural dust-aerosol mass concentration is considered to be 0.002 g/m³, whereas a concentration for only slightly reduced

visibility would be $\sim 0.0001 \text{ g/m}^3$. Much higher concentrations occur, of course, in dust clouds raised in the immediate vicinity of disturbed soil surfaces.

Table 1. Optical Constants of Several Atmospheric Aerosol Materials

Material	λ	n_λ	k_λ	$\alpha_{A_\lambda} \cdot \rho = k_{L_\lambda}$	α_{A_λ}	N o t e	Liter- ature cited
							Assumed
Natural aerosol	0.55	1.525	0.005	(0.114)	(0.048)	1	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	0.63	1.525	0.005	(0.1)	(0.042)	1	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	0.9	1.65	0.005	(0.07)	(0.029)	1	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	1.06	1.65	0.005	(0.059)	(0.025)	1	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	3.5	1.66	0.016	0.057	0.024	2	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	5.0	1.59	0.032	0.08	0.034	2	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	10.6	1.7	0.07	0.083	0.035	2	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol		1.87	0.3	0.356	0.148	2	11 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	1.06		0.036	(0.427)	(0.18)	1	12 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	9.6		0.29	0.38	0.16	2	12 $\rho = 2.4$
Natural aerosol	10.6		0.135	0.16	0.07	2	12 $\rho = 2.4$
Quartz	1.06		negligible			-	12 $\rho = 2.4$
Quartz	9.6		0.325	0.425	0.16	2	12 $\rho = 2.66$
Quartz	10.6		0.051	0.06	0.02	2	12 $\rho = 2.66$
Acetylene soot	1.06		0.586	6.95	4.09	3	12 $\rho = 1.7$
Acetylene soot	9.6		0.733	0.96	0.56	2	12 $\rho = 1.7$
Acetylene soot	10.6		0.732	0.87	0.51	2	12 $\rho = 1.7$

NOTES:

1. For the Mie and geometric scattering cases, the interrelationships between k_λ and α_{A_λ} are more complex,¹³ and other techniques for the approximation of extinction coefficients apply.³ The values shown in parentheses are calculated using equations 3 and 4 but would be approximately correct only for fine natural aerosol particles.
2. Calculated from equations 3 and 4 for the Rayleigh case ($D_p \ll \lambda$).
3. Probably a good approximation even at $\lambda = 1.06 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ because soot typically is composed of particles with diameters predominantly near $D_p = 0.1 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$, and the Rayleigh case ($D_p \ll \lambda$) applies.




Figure 2. Typical Measured Aerosol Size Distributions

3. DUST ABSORPTION IN THE 7.7-14 μm SPECTRAL REGION

Neutral attenuation with wavelength may be a generally valid assumption over long atmospheric paths that integrate spectral features due to various natural aerosols, but dense localized soil-derived dust clouds can have pronounced spectral character under some conditions. This is especially true at longer IR wavelengths in the 7-14 μm atmospheric window region where, because of particle size distributions found in some localized dusts, a significant portion of the total optical extinction can arise from absorption of the particles rather than from optical scattering. Thus, dusts with large absorption coefficient (large imaginary indices) in this wavelength region and with relatively small particle sizes can behave as pure absorbers. Talc was mentioned as an example (Figure 1) where $\alpha_\lambda/\alpha_{A_\lambda} \approx 1.0$, but the author's measurements indicate that for most dusts this ratio varies between 2 and 4. That is, most localized dust clouds

derive from one-fourth to one-half of their extinction from particle absorption in the 7-14 μm IR window region.

It is very important to appreciate the distinction between particle size distributions found in surface soils and distributions found in airborne dust clouds generated from these surface soils. It is true that soil, containing a large fraction of small particles or "fines," when disturbed tends to produce a cloud or more finely sized dust particles than will a coarse soil.¹⁴ The ultimate extension of this is the natural aerosol (for example, the dashed curve in Figure 2), whose particles tend to be so fine that they are relatively unaffected by settling due to gravity and can remain airborne for long periods of time.

Nearly all soils, and especially clays,¹⁵ contain small fractions of particles with diameters of a few micrometers or less that, once airborne, settle at velocities <1 mm/s. Clouds of such fine particles can, therefore, persist for long periods in the slightest atmospheric turbulence. They usually are dispersed by air currents long before their particles resettle to the soil surface. Examples of differences in dust-particle size distributions between dust clouds and the soils producing them are discussed later.

Dusts with extremely fine particles and strong absorption bands can contribute to spectral (i.e., wavelength-dependent) behavior of the extinction coefficient, since α_λ is the summation (equation 2) of the optical scattering component, which is reduced at a given wavelength as the mean size of the particle distribution shifts to values smaller than λ . The absorption component can then become significant or even predominant in determining the overall value of α_λ . For example, it was learned in an earlier program¹⁶ that the finest-sized, most troublesome spectral dusts in the field environment were those rising from dry dirt roads traveled heavily by rubber-tired vehicles that continually grinded soil particles on the road surfaces. Other workers¹⁷ found that 250 passes by a light vehicle over fine-grained soils produced an increase from 4 to 14% in the fraction of surface particles <74 μm (a standard test procedure for dusts involves determination of the fraction passing through a 74 μm mesh screen or sieve). Helicopters can raise troublesome dust clouds even from coarse soil surfaces because of their strong downward propeller wash, momentarily lifting

particles $>200\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ into the air. These data¹⁷ are based on soil samples containing 0.2-2.0% moisture. Because many soils consist of agglomerates of very fine particles, vehicular gristing action at the surface under dry conditions that discourages reagglomeration ensures that any disturbance of the surface will produce clouds of very fine particles that are almost like haze in their drift characteristics. Furthermore, larger particles raised from the surface tend to settle from the cloud much more rapidly than the fines. A drifting cloud of absorbing dust reaches maximum absorption-to-scatter ratio due to the loss of larger predominantly scattering particles on the one hand and dispersion or diminution of concentration (C) of the cloud on the other. In the 7-14 μm atmospheric window region, for example, road dusts in Georgia and Utah were capable of producing extinction spectra with peak absorptions 40-50% greater than the band shoulders.¹⁶

On first consideration, it would seem logical that explosives would be an effective way to quickly disseminate large quantities of fine dust, but tests have shown that this is not the case. Buried or ground-impacted explosives throw large quantities of soil into the air, but most of the disturbed subsurface soil is heavily agglomerated because it is not subjected to the drying and gristing effects common to surface activity. Short-lived clouds of large mean particle size are produced by explosives. These tend to extinguish visible or IR radiation in a broadband or neutral manner simply by blocking or scattering it. The traditional dust of battle, although sometimes locally troublesome, has rarely ended a military operation by reason of prolonged visibility reduction.

Other work has been done to characterize the physical properties of the dust environment in the vicinity; for example, of military activities.¹⁷ Table 2 shows that topsoil taken from the upper 6-7 mm of the earth's surface usually has a mass median diameter (MMD) considerably smaller than that of subsurface soil. Thus, topsoil usually contains a greater percentage of subsieve ($<74\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) particles than subsurface soil contains. The added gristing action of surface activity cannot be judged from Table 2 because details of sample sites and conditions are not given, but we see that even extremely fine materials such as Alaskan river-bottom silt, when dried, still have typical MMDs as large as $32\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Thus, the lower tail of the particle size distribution for this

sample would contain a large fraction of particles having diameters comparable with those of visible and IR wavelengths that could be raised from a dried soil sample to produce a dust cloud of very fine particles.

Table 2. Dust Content of Typical United States Soils¹⁷

Location	Description	MMD (μm)	Percent $D_p < 74 \mu\text{m}$
Perryman, MD	Test course topsoil	330	17.4
	Test course subsoil	326	8.2
Dugway, UT	Proving Ground topsoil	254	12.3
	Proving Ground 3 in. subsoil	326	8.6
Kenvil, NJ	Topsoil	480	24.0
	Subsoil	105	42.0
Redstone, AL	Arsenal topsoil	290	17.0
	Arsenal subsoil	685	4.0
White Sands, NM	Missile range 2 in. topsoil	220	3.0
	Missile range 6 in. subsoil	228	5.0
San Antonio, TX	Black Gumbo topsoil	840	2.7
	Black Gumbo 3 in. subsoil	840	1.4
	Clay topsoil	238	17.6
	Clay 3 in. subsoil	665	14.0
	Sandy loam topsoil	122	17.7
	Sandy loam 3 in. subsoil	157	7.1
Delta. AK	Delta river bottom silt	32	83.6
	Delta river bottom surface	460	2.3
	Delta river bottom 3 in. subsurface	340	1.2

Table 3 shows data¹⁷ for caliche (very fine young limestone) from Fort Hood, WA, and dusts from Yuma Proving Ground, AZ, which were about 40% quartz. The remaining 60% consisted of clay, carbonates, and gypsum. Nearly 10% of the particles in these soil samples lay in the 0-5 μm particle size

range, and they would produce strong absorption bands in the 7-14 μm IR wavelength region if raised into the air as dust clouds.

Table 3. Particle Size Distribution in Soil Below 74 μm ¹⁷

Size (μm)	Yuma	
	Proving Ground	Fort Hood
0-5	9	9
5-10	15	13
10-20	22	28
20-40	34	31
40-74	18	18

The most extensive treatment of absorption by dust in the 7.7-14 μm wavelength region is that of Flanigan and DeLong, U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center (CRDEC).¹⁰ They found that 70 soil samples taken from locations around the world could be characterized as combinations of the five basic soil-derived constituents shown in Table 4 where their peak IR absorption locations are shown in wave numbers and in wavelength.

Table 4. Peak Positions of the IR Bands of the Common Dust Minerals¹⁰

Kaolinite		Illite		Montmorillonite		Silica		Calcium carbonate	
cm^{-1}	μm	cm^{-1}	μm	cm^{-1}	μm	cm^{-1}	μm	cm^{-1}	μm
700	14.29M	913	10.95M	913	12.75M	780	12.67M	714	14.0W
755	13.25W	1031	9.7VS	1040	9.6VS	800	12.50W	845	11.83W
790	12.66W	1117	8.95M*	1117	9.95M*	1090	9.17VS	873	11.45S
913	10.95S					1175	8.51S*	1430	6.85VS**
938	10.66M								
1010	9.90VS								
1035	9.66VS								
1100	9.09S								
1115	8.97S								

KEY: W = weak
M = moderate
S = strong
VS = very strong

*Shoulder.


**Extends well into the 700-1,300 cm^{-1} region.

The compositions of the samples are shown in Table 5, and the IR spectra of these samples are shown in Figure 3.

Table 5. Relative Concentrations of the Common Dust Minerals in Soil Samples¹⁰

Sample No.	Location	Kaolinite	Illite, Mont-morillonite	Silica	Calcium carbonate
3	Baroufoss, Norway		High	High	
4	Fairbanks, Alaska	Trace	High	High	
7	Edmonton, Canada	Trace	High	High	
10	Port Angelos, Washington	Trace	High	High	
13	Longview, Washington		High	High	
15	St. Paul, Minnesota		High	High	
26	Detroit, Michigan		High	High	
27	Logan, Utah		High	Low	Low
34	Columbus, Ohio	Trace	High	High	
37	Derby, Colorado	Low	Medium	High	
40	Manhattan, Kansas	Trace	High	High	
45	Tokyo, Japan	Trace	High	High	
47	Los Angeles, California	Low	High	High	
48	Atlanta, Georgia	High		Low	
50	Tucson, Arizona	Trace	High	Medium	Trace
55	Oahu, Hawaii	High		Low	
56	Manila, Phillipines		High	High	
57	Panama Canal Zone	Medium	Medium	High	
58	Leedon Park, Singapore	High		Low	
60	Maguga, Kenya	High		Low	
61	Katherine, Australia	High		Medium	
64	Durban, South Africa	High		Low	

The samples were carefully prepared before being pressed into KBr pellets. The spectra of the dust pellets and of fine airborne samples of the same dusts agreed, leading the authors to conclude that (especially at these longer wavelengths) particle size and scattering do not significantly affect the results. The authors were aware of particle diameter effects, related to those discussed by Jennings et al.,¹³ on their measurements. They estimated that their peak absorption coefficients might be from 10 to 30% larger than measured because of these effects. The spectra in Figure 3 were normalized by dividing the value of $^aA_\lambda$ at each wavelength by the peak value of the strongest band,



Source: Flanigan, D.F., and DeLong, H.P., Appl. Opt. Vol. 10, p 51 (1971).

Figure 3. Spectra of Selected Soil Samples

and the units were converted by the author to those conventional in aerosol spectroscopy (equations 1 and 2); namely, square meters per gram. The soil sample number is shown to the left of each spectrum, and the absorption coefficient of the strongest peak is shown to the right end of each spectrum. Values of α_{λ} can be converted directly to k_{λ} values using equation 3 if the density ρ of the particles is known and if the assumptions apply. In that case, k_{λ} can be obtained from equation 4.

To find the value of α_{λ} for any spectrum or wavelength, proceed as follows. The bottom spectra (sample on left-hand side of the figure and sample 47 on right-hand side) are drawn to correct scale with the base lines (labeled in wave numbers). The peak absorption values ($0.16 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ and $0.47 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, respectively) are shown to the right of each spectrum. Place a ruler vertically on either bottom spectrum and measure the distance from the base line to the highest absorption peak. This distance is a constant for all curves. Thus, the reader can move from one spectrum to another, measure this constant distance down from the highest absorption peak for each curve, and construct a horizontal base line for each curve at that point. The peak value of α_{λ} shown to the right of each curve is then used to graduate the ordinate of each spectrum from its base line.

The kaolinite soils are particularly strong absorbers in the $9.6\text{-}9.9\mu\text{m}$ wavelength region and are often found in finely divided surface clays capable of producing clouds of haze-like dust and of intense spectral activity in this wavelength region. For example, wheeled vehicles on dry, heavily traveled, dirt roads of kaolinite-rich Georgia red clay produce dust clouds among the most IR-absorptive of any found. In such dusts, it is not uncommon for large fractions of collected airborne samples to have submicrometer particle diameters.

Field tests were conducted near Columbus, GA, during development by CRDEC of an IR system described by Ballard et al.¹⁶ The red soil there contained large deposits of kaolinite (aluminum silicate); and an analysis of surface soil from the test site (a dirt road) showed that it contained 65% silica, 17% silicates (predominantly kaolinite), and 18% other substances. When dust clouds were raised by the movement of vehicles along the road, an analysis of the collected dust showed that it contained 20% silica,

33% silicates (predominantly kaolinite), and 47% other substances. Thus, these analyses showed that the dust clouds contained much higher percentages of fine kaolinite particles than did the surface soil that produced them. Silica (sand), the major constituent of the surface soil, usually is found in comparatively large granules that quickly settle back to the surface when disturbed. Thus, an automatic size separation takes place during dust-cloud generation.

Particle size distributions also were determined (using cascaded impactors) for the natural background aerosol and for the kaolinite-rich dust clouds; these are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Cumulative Particle Size Distributions Measured for Dust Clouds of Georgia Red Clay

Both curves are from field tests conducted near Columbus, GA. The local background aerosol (lower curve, labeled BACKGROUND) was very fine, with only ~2% of the particles >3 μm in diameter. By comparison, an average dust cloud (upper curve, labeled TOTAL) contained nearly 20% of particles >3 μm diameter but only ~2% > 9.8 μm , which is the diameter corresponding to the wavelength at which peak IR dust extinction coefficients were measured in these tests. The Mie size parameter^{1,3} $\pi \cdot D_{\mu}/\lambda$ for $\lambda = 9.8 \mu\text{m}$ is $0.32 D_{\mu}$. From the upper curve of Figure 4, the median diameter of particles in these dust clouds was 1-2 μm , corresponding to a size parameter of 0.32-0.64. For a 9.8 μm observation wavelength, this approaches a Rayleigh-like scattering situation with $D_{\mu} \ll \lambda$, and we would expect the cloud absorption to make a significant contribution to total IR extinction. That this actually did happen is indicated by the spectrum of one of the test dust clouds, shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. IR Spectrum of a Dust Cloud of Georgia Red Clay

For comparison purposes, the peak absorption coefficient of the band at $9.8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, from sample 48 in Figure 3 for Georgia kaolinite, is $\alpha_{9.8} = 0.58\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$. The similarity of these spectra is readily apparent, except that Christiansen-like effects¹⁸ apparently occur in the spectrum of the dust in air (Figure 5) near 7.4 and $12\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, which do not occur in the spectrum of the dust in KBr (Figure 3). Reduced transmission toward shorter wavelengths shown in Figure 5 is probably due to scattering by larger dust particles in the cloud. During the field trials, a filter transmissometer¹⁶ continually monitored the band at $9.8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ in Figure 5 (spectral halfwidth $\sim 1.0\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, attributed to kaolinite absorption) and ratioed it to the total extinction across all wavelengths in the $7\text{-}14\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ atmospheric window to determine the percentage of total signal extinction in this window that was due to absorption only.

The results are shown in Figure 6, where the arrows represent the time history of data as a red clay dust cloud entered the IR beam and dispersed over a total period of $\sim 25\text{ s}$. The kaolinite absorption band at $9.8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ accounted for a maximum of $\sim 20\%$ of the signal extinction (abscissa) when the total extinction was $\sim 60\%$ [(i.e., when the signal transmission was 40% (ordinate)]]. In other words, the $9.8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ kaolinite dust band, by absorption only, accounted for about one-third of the total extinction by the dust cloud over the entire $7\text{-}14\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ window region, with the remainder of the extinction being contributed by absorption at wavelengths other than $9.8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, and optical scattering of airborne particles. The particle size distribution is shown in Figure 4 (uppercurve).




Figure 6. Extinction of IR Radiation in the 7-14 μm Window Region by a Dust Cloud of Georgia Red Clay

The time history of another cloud is shown directly in Figure 7, where the percentages of total signal, S (top curves), and absorption, A (lower curve), are plotted as functions of time. This cloud produced 24% absorption by the 9.8 μm band when the total signal extinction by absorption and scattering in the 7-14 μm window region exceeded 60%; that is, transmission was $\sim 30\%$. Thus the 9.8 μm absorption band accounted for more than one-third of the total 7-14 μm extinction in this case.




Figure 7. Time History of IR Extinction in the 7-14 μm Window Region by a Dust Cloud of Georgia Red Clay

4. CONCLUSIONS

Optical constants and mass absorption coefficients of many soil-derived dusts are presented, and approximation techniques were discussed that are applicable when dust-particle size is small compared with wavelength, that is, in the Rayleigh scattering regime. It has been shown using experimental spectra for airborne dust clouds that the particle absorption contribution to the mass extinction coefficient is especially significant at longer IR wavelengths; for example, in the 7-14 μm

atmospheric window, where this contribution can range from ~25 to 50%, for localized field concentrations of dusts from soils like Georgia red clay, to nearly 100% for prepared finely sized minerals like talc. The mechanisms determining the optical properties of dust clouds raised from disturbed soil surfaces are complex and not obvious. The more important mechanisms have been considered here.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Mie, G., Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) Vol. 25, p 377 (1908).
2. Acquista, C., "Validity of Modifying Mie Theory to Describe Scattering Nonspherical Particles," Appl. Opt. Vol. 17, p 3851 (1978).
3. Carlon, H.R., "Practical Upper Limits of the Optical Extinction Coefficients of Aerosols," Appl. Opt. Vol. 18, pp 1372-1375 (1979).
4. Carlon, H.R., "Durable Narrow Absorption Band Infrared Filters Utilizing Powdered Mineral Materials on Polyethylene Substrates," Appl. Opt. Vol. 1, pp 603-605 (1962).
5. Carlon, H.R., Anderson, D.H., Milham, M.E., Tarnove, T.L., Frickel, R.H., and Sindoni, O.I., "Infrared Extinction Spectra of Some Common Liquid Aerosols," Appl. Opt. Vol. 16, pp 1598-1605 (1977).
6. Volz, F.E., "Infrared Refractive Index of Atmospheric Aerosol Substances," Appl. Opt. Vol. 11, p 755 (1972).
7. Volz, F.E., "Infrared Optical Constants of Ammonium Sulfate, Sahara Dust, Volcanic Pumice, and Fly Ash," Appl. Opt. Vol. 12, p 564 (1973).
8. Pollack, J.B., Toon, O.B., and Khare, B.N., Icarus Vol. 19, p 372 (1973).
9. Query, M.R., Osborne, G., Lies, K., Jordan, R., and Coveney, R. M., Jr., "Complex Refractive Index of Limestone in the Visible and Infrared," Appl. Opt. Vol. 17, p 353 (1978).
10. Flanigan, D.F., and DeLong, H.P., "Spectral Absorption Characteristics of the Major Components of Dust Clouds," Appl. Opt. Vol. 10, p 51 (1971).
11. Patterson, E.M., "Atmospheric Extinction Between 0.55 μm and 10.6 μm Due to Soil-Derived Aerosols," Appl. Opt. Vol. 16, p 2414 (1977).
12. Schleusener, S.A., Lindberg, J.D., White, K.O., and Johnson, R. L., "Spectrophone Measurements of Infrared Laser Absorption by Energy, Atmospheric Dust," Appl. Opt. Vol. 15, p 2546 (1976).
13. Jennings, S.G., Pinnick, R.P., and Gillespie, J.B., "Relation Between Absorption Coefficient and Imaginary Index of Atmospheric Aerosol Constituents," Appl. Opt. Vol. 18, p 1368 (1979).
14. Gillette, D.A., and Walker, T.R., Soil Sci. Vol. 123, p 97 (1977).
15. Millot, G., Sci. Am. Vol. 240, No. 4, p 109 (1979).
16. Ballard, S.S., and Wolfe, W.L., "Recent Developments in Infrared Technology," Appl. Opt. Vol. 1, p 547 (1962); see "Lopair," p 551.

17. Engelhardt, R.E., and Knebel, G.W., Characteristics of the Dust Environment in the Vicinity of Military Activities, Report AR-642, U.S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center, Fort Belvoir, VA, January 1968, UNCLASSIFIED Report. (AD 665 439)

18. Carlon, H.R., "Chritiansen Effect in IR Spectra of Soil-Derived Atmospheric Dusts," Appl. Opt. Vol. 18, p 3610 (1979).